

**Chapter 1 : Scott Gilbert: Publications :: Biology :: Swarthmore College**

*Dr. Gilbert pursues two areas of evolutionary developmental biology. The first is the origins of biological novelty, and he has worked since on the mechanisms by which developmental changes in the amniote embryo generate the turtle shell.*

Nothing wrong with that. But the textbook never mentions that many biologists doubt that natural selection can explain the origin of new biological features, and that explaining the origin of the enormous complexity and diversity of living organisms remains one of the greatest challenges of biology. However, they know little about the principles that allow innovations to originate in the first place. Explaining exactly how the great complexity and diversity of life on earth originated is still an enormous scientific challenge. There is the widespread attitude in the scientific community that, despite some problems in detail, textbook accounts on evolution have essentially solved the problem already. In my view, this is not quite correct. No evidence in the vast literature of heredity changes shows unambiguous evidence that random mutation itself, even with geographical isolation of populations, leads to speciation. I was taught over and over again that the accumulation of random mutations led to evolutionary change “ led to new species. I believed it until I looked for evidence. Summing up we can see that the import of the Darwinian theory of evolution is just unexplainable caprice from top to bottom. What evolves is just what happened to happen. As Jerry Coyne explains, other evolutionary mechanisms like neutral drift are impotent to create new features: It could never build a wing or an eye. The question is whether duplicated genes can traverse likely evolutionary pathways to acquire new functions before they are subfunctionalized. This is typically held to be a neutral walk , detached from selection pressure. However, population genetics imposes limits on how many specific neutral mutations a gene is likely to accumulate. In , Douglas Axe published evidence indicating that despite high mutation rates and generous assumptions favoring a Darwinian process, molecular adaptations requiring more than six mutations before yielding any advantage would be extremely unlikely to arise in the history of the Earth. The following year, Axe published research with developmental biologist Ann Gauger describing the results of their experiments seeking to convert one bacterial enzyme into another closely related enzyme. That is the kind of conversion that evolutionists claim can easily happen. For this case they found that the conversion would require a minimum of at least seven simultaneous changes, 15 exceeding the six-mutation-limit that Axe had previously established as a boundary of what Darwinian evolution is likely to accomplish in bacteria. Because this conversion is thought to be relatively simple, it suggests that the model of evolution by gene duplication could not produce even many modest gene conversions. In other experiments led by Gauger and biologist Ralph Seelke of the University of Wisconsin, Superior, their research team broke a gene in the bacterium *E. coli*. But even when only two mutations were required to restore function, Darwinian evolution got stuck, apparently unable to restore full function. This suggests that it is highly unlikely that the standard gene duplication model would produce new complex functions because gene duplicates are likely to be deleted before evolving some new function. This is not likely a viable model for explaining the origin of typical proteins. This controversy is well represented in the peer-reviewed technical literature, and it should be acknowledged in the textbook. Unfortunately, neither Pearson nor the panel of reviewers in Texas want students exposed to fundamental controversies over natural selection. Biochemist Michael Behe and physicist David Snoke have performed computer simulations and theoretical calculations showing that the Darwinian evolution of a functional bond between two proteins would be highly unlikely to occur in populations of multicellular organisms under reasonable evolutionary timescales. The fact that very large population sizes “ or greater “ are required to build even a minimal MR feature requiring two nucleotide alterations within generations by the processes described in our model, and that enormous population sizes are required for more complex features or shorter times, seems to indicate that the mechanism of gene duplication and point mutation alone would be ineffective, at least for multicellular diploid species, because few multicellular species reach the required population sizes. Pearson makes this claim when discussing the evolution of whales, however the evolution of whales from land-mammals is thought to have occurred in less than 10 million years. Indeed, the research by Douglas Axe and Ann Gauger, as noted above, also shows that many

modest evolutionary conversions of one protein to a closely related protein would likely require more coordinated mutations than could arise in the entire history of the Earth. A Theory of the Origins of the Species, p. Salthe, Home Page, [http: Behe and David W.](http://BeheandDavidW.com)

Chapter 2 : by\_scott\_f\_gilbert\_developmental\_biology\_looseleaf\_tenth\_edition\_10th\_edition

Read "Scott F. Gilbert's "The Generation of Novelty: The Province of Developmental Biology Bare-Knuckle Fighting: EvoDevo versus Natural Selection, Biological Theory" on DeepDyve, the largest online rental service for scholarly research with thousands of academic publications available at your fingertips.

By PvM August 29, Opitz, and Rudolf A. But did they claim that classical NeoDarwinism could not adequately explain macroevolution? Gilbert coauthor of [25] and [27] wrote: My gripe has been that neo-Darwinism has supposed that population genetics was the only genetics needed to explain Darwinian evolution. I claim that developmental genetics is also needed. So my research has been to include developmental genetics into the Darwinian mix. The treatment of macroevolution in that paper is an extension, but by no means a challenge. As Gilbert, Opitz and Raff have assessed the situation: The Modern Synthesis is a remarkable achievement. Genetics might be adequate for explaining microevolution, but microevolutionary changes in gene frequency were not seen as able to turn a reptile into a mammal or to convert a fish into an amphibian. Microevolution looks at adaptations that concern only the survival of the fittest, not the arrival of the fittest Gilbert, et. This new synthesis emphasizes three morphological areas of biology that had been marginalized by the Modern Synthesis of genetics and evolution: The foundations for this new synthesis have been provide by new findings from developmental genetics and from the reinterpretation of the fossil record. In this nascent synthesis, macroevolutionary questions are not seen as being soluble by population genetics, and the developmental actions of genes involved with growth and cell specification are seen as being critical for the formation of higher taxa. In addition to discovering the remarkable homologies of homeobox genes and their domains of expression, developmental genetics has recently proposed homologies of process that supplement the older homologies of structure. Homologous developmental pathways, such as those involving the wnt genes, are seen in numerous embryonic processes, and they are seen occurring in discrete regions, the morphogenetic fields. These fields which exemplify the modular nature of developing embryos are proposed to mediate between genotype and phenotype. Just as the cell and not its genome functions as the unit of organic structure and function, so the the morphogenetic field and not the genes or the cells is seen as a major unit of ontogeny whose changes bring about changes in evolution. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics Evolutionary transformation of limb pattern: Heterochrony and secondary fusion. Developmental Patterning of the Vertebrate Limb. Evolutionary innovations overcome ancestral constraints: A re-examination of character evolution in male sepsid flies Diptera: Evolution and Development 4 1: Plenum Press, New York. Novelty and key innovation. Oxford University Press, Oxford. Needless to say there are a myriad of papers on this topic and while it is correct to point out that NeoDarwinism lacked the mathematical and theoretical tools to integrated development and population genetics, much has happened on the last decade. Well that was easy! Other than pointing out that there is much ignorance in areas of embryotic development, ID totally fails to present a testable hypothesis of its own. I think that ID may very well have things to offer science, but I think that it is too early for ID to claim that it has done so. References and links [1] Meyer, Stephen C. The origin of biological information and the higher taxonomic categories. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 2: Evolution needs viable, heritable change.

Chapter 3 : Homepage | Dilbert by Scott Adams

*Swarthmore College Works Biology Faculty Works Biology The Generation Of Novelty: The Province Of Developmental Biology Scott F. Gilbert Swarthmore College, [sgilber1@theinnatdunvilla.com](mailto:sgilber1@theinnatdunvilla.com)*

Product Details Publisher Description This new edition keeps the excellent writing, accuracy, and enthusiasm of the Gilbert Developmental Biology textbook, streamlines it, adds electronic supplements to reinforce ideas and creates a new textbook that will meet the desires of those teaching Developmental Biology to a new generation. Author Biography Scott F. Gilbert, the Howard A. He teaches developmental biology, developmental genetics, and the history of biology. After receiving his B. Gilbert is the recipient of several awards, including the first Viktor Hamburger Award for excellence in developmental biology education, the Alexander Kowalevsky Prize for evolutionary developmental biology, honorary degrees from the Universities of Helsinki and Tartu, and the Medal of Francois I from the College de France. His research pursues the developmental genetic mechanisms by which the turtle forms its shell and the mechanisms by which plasticity and symbionts contribute to development. After he received his B. Barresi pursued his doctoral research on muscle fiber type development at Wesleyan University in the laboratory of Dr. He completed his postdoctoral fellowship in Dr. At Smith College, Dr. Barresi is an innovator in the classroom, pioneering the use of web conferencing, documentary movie making, and active learning pedagogies in Developmental Biology. Since , he has successfully taught course-based research laboratories in Developmental Biology. Table of Contents Patterns and Processes of Becoming: A Framework for Understanding Animal Development. Mechanisms of Developmental Organization. Mechanisms of Developmental Patterning. Mechanisms of Cell Differentiation. Stem Cells and Their Niches: Cell Generation and Regeneration. The Circle of Sex. Sex Determination and Gametogenesis. Beginning a New Organism. Cleavage, Gastrulation, and Axis Formation. Rapid Specification in Snails and Nematodes. The Genetics of Axis Specification in Drosophila. Sea Urchins and Tunicates: The Vertebrate Nervous System and Epidermis. Neural Tube Formation and Patterning. Axons and Neural Crest Cells. Epidermis and Ectodermal Placodes. Segmentation and Somite Differentiation. Intermediate and Lateral Plate Mesoderm: Blood, Heart, and Kidneys. Tubes and Organs for Digestion and Respiration. Development in Health and Disease: Birth Defects, Endocrine Disruptors, and Cancer. Development and the Environment: Biotic, Abiotic, and Symbiotic Regulation of Development. Developmental Mechanisms of Evolutionary Change.

**Chapter 4 : Watts & Co. - Wikipedia**

*Recommended Citation. Scott F. Gilbert. (). "The Generation Of Novelty: The Province Of Developmental Biology". Biological theinnatdunvilla.com 1, Issue 2.*

For example, the brain of a human embryo looked first like that of a fish , then in turn like that of a reptile , bird , and mammal before becoming clearly human. The embryologist Karl Ernst von Baer opposed this, arguing in that there was no linear sequence as in the great chain of being, based on a single body plan , but a process of epigenesis in which structures differentiate. Von Baer instead recognised four distinct animal body plans: Zoologists then largely abandoned recapitulation, though Ernst Haeckel revived it in Lancelet a chordate , B. Larval tunicate , C. Kowalevsky saw that the notochord 1 and gill slit 5 are shared by tunicates and vertebrates. Morphology biology and Body plan From the early 19th century through most of the 20th century, embryology faced a mystery. Animals were seen to develop into adults of widely differing body plan , often through similar stages, from the egg, but zoologists knew almost nothing about how embryonic development was controlled at the molecular level , and therefore equally little about how developmental processes had evolved. As an example of this, Darwin cited in his book *On the Origin of Species* the shrimp-like larva of the barnacle , whose sessile adults looked nothing like other arthropods ; Linnaeus and Cuvier had classified them as molluscs. It took a century before these ideas were shown to be correct. Biologists assumed that an organism was a straightforward reflection of its component genes: Biochemical pathways and, they supposed, new species evolved through mutations in these genes. It was a simple, clear and nearly comprehensive picture: RNA Polymerase , 2: It was a cluster of genes , arranged in a feedback control loop so that its products would only be made when "switched on" by an environmental stimulus. One of these products was an enzyme that splits a sugar , lactose; and lactose itself was the stimulus that switched the genes on. This was a revelation, as it showed for the first time that genes, even in an organism as small as a bacterium, were subject to fine-grained control. The implication was that many other genes were also elaborately regulated. Lewis discovered homeotic genes that regulate embryonic development in *Drosophila* fruit flies, which like all insects are arthropods , one of the major phyla of invertebrate animals. It was evident that the gene must be ancient, dating back to the last common ancestor of bilateral animals before the Ediacaran Period, which began some million years ago. Evo-devo had started to uncover the ways that all animal bodies were built during development. Deep homology Roughly spherical eggs of different animals give rise to extremely different bodies, from jellyfish to lobsters, butterflies to elephants. Many of these organisms share the same structural genes for body-building proteins like collagen and enzymes, but biologists had expected that each group of animals would have its own rules of development. The surprise of evo-devo is that the shaping of bodies is controlled by a rather small percentage of genes, and that these regulatory genes are ancient, shared by all animals. The giraffe does not have a gene for a long neck, any more than the elephant has a gene for a big body. Their bodies are patterned by a system of switching which causes development of different features to begin earlier or later, to occur in this or that part of the embryo, and to continue for more or less time. The step-by-step control of its embryogenesis was visualized by attaching fluorescent dyes of different colours to specific types of protein made by genes expressed in the embryo. Using such a technique, in Walter Gehring found that the *pax-6* gene, vital for forming the eyes of fruit flies, exactly matches an eye-forming gene in mice and humans. The same gene was quickly found in many other groups of animals, such as squid , a cephalopod mollusc. Biologists including Ernst Mayr had believed that eyes had arisen in the animal kingdom at least 40 times, as the anatomy of different types of eye varies widely.

**Chapter 5 : Developmental Biology - NCBI Bookshelf**

*Scott F. Gilbert* *The Generation of Novelty: The Province of Developmental Biology BareKnuckle Fighting: EvoDevo versus Natural Selection.*

Members of at least two prokaryotic domains a sulfidogenic archaeobacterium, a sulfide-oxidizing motile eubacterium merged in the origin of the first nucleated organisms to form the earliest eukaryotic organism in the mid-Proterozoic Eon c. Such a heterotrophic, phagocytotic motile protocist was ancestral to all subsequent eukaryotes e. The defining seme of eukaryosis, the membrane-bounded nucleus as a component of the karyomastigont, evolved as *Thermoplasma*-like archaeobacteria and *Perfilievia-Spirochaeta*-like eubacteria symbiogenetically formed the amitochondriate LECA the last eukaryotic common ancestor. Their co-descendants that still thrive in organic-rich anoxic habitats are amenable to study so that videos of them can be shown here. There are no missing links in our scenario. Contemporary photosynthetic green animals e. Other life sciences, however, continue to lend themselves more naturally to description in terms of processes. The dynamics of ecosystems, for example, can be seen to rest upon a set of fundamental postulates corresponding to the attributes of processes. By comparison, competition is seen to be accidental and derivative. Adopting the process perspective mitigates many of the ostensible conflicts between science and religion. However, this is one mystery that is now arguably solved In this talk, I will discuss advances in our understanding of how new species form since Darwin first posed the problem. I will outline current views regarding the geographic context and genetic bases for speciation. I will also examine how and why barriers to gene flow evolve “ the crux of the speciation problem “ highlighting a few case studies demonstrating incipient speciation in action I will conclude by discussing current research directions in the field. Although we may understand the general mechanisms generating new species, much remains to be learned. In particular, we are entering an exciting new period of synthesis in which the ecological, physiological, developmental, and genetic bases for population divergence can now be fully integrated for model and non-model organisms alike. From this body of work, case studies are accumulating to soon allow broad patterns to be identified concerning the relative importance of different mechanisms for the genesis of biodiversity. Although the mystery surrounding speciation may be gone, the thrill is not. Speciation is as important and as fascinating a question now, as ever, for understanding life. He accumulated evidence demonstrating that organisms evolve over eons of time and diversify as they adapt to environments that are enormously diverse. Mutation and selection have jointly driven the marvelous process that, starting from microscopic organisms, has yielded orchids, birds, and humans. Although these terms are ambiguous, the repeated reference to Darwin has a theoretical signification. Darwin settled a conceptual framework that has canalized evolutionary research over one-and-a half centuries. The structure of the two major aspects of this framework the hypotheses of descent with modification and natural selection are reconstituted and confronted with further evolutionary research, with special regard to the last 50 years. There may be several trees for several levels of integration of biological entities; lateral gene transfer and symbiosis also impose a network mode of representation. As for the natural selection hypothesis, it has been criticized at two levels: At the first level, three controversies have dominated since the s: Contemporary evolutionary biology admits that natural selection is the only acceptable explanation for adaptation, has raised serious doubts about the ability of natural selection to be an all-sufficient principle for the explanation of some or all the other classes of facts that Darwin explained through this principle. The article concludes that the Darwinian framework has persisted, not under the form of the particular models of descent of modification and natural selection [Darwin] had in mind, but in the sense of high level heuristic postulates that have constrained and canalized the possible theoretical choices accessible to evolutionary biologists and paleontologists. Her interest in evolution began with a flight from Nairobi into Olduvai Gorge to interview the late paleoanthropologist Mary Leakey. Because of ideological struggles, the Kenyan-Tanzanian border was closed, and Leakey was the only reason authorities in Dar es Salaam agreed to give landing clearance. The meeting followed discovery by Leakey and her team of the 3.

**Chapter 6 : CABINET / Congenital Human Baculum Deficiency**

*Cebra-Thomas J, Tan F, Sistla S, Estes E, Bender G, Kim C, Riccio P, Gilbert SF () How the turtle forms its shell: A paracrine hypothesis of carapace formation. Journal of Experimental Zoology B (Molecular Development and Evolution)*

Gilbert pursues two areas of evolutionary developmental biology. The first is the origins of biological novelty, and he has worked since on the mechanisms by which developmental changes in the amniote embryo generate the turtle shell. The second concern involves the relationship of the developing organism to its environment, especially the co-development of host and symbionts to generate the holobiont. He is pursuing questions that emerge when the holobiont the host plus its persistent symbiotic communities is considered as a unit of selection. Scott received his BA. He pursued his PhD in biology in the laboratory of Dr. Barbara Migeon at the Johns Hopkins University and simultaneously researched his MA thesis in the history of science under the aegis of Dr. His postdoctoral research at the University of Wisconsin was first in the molecular biology laboratory of Dr. Masayasu Nomura and later in the developmental immunology laboratory of Dr. Scott has been elected a fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science and the St. In , the Society for Developmental Biology awarded Dr. Gilbert is married to Dr. Anne Raunio and has three children. Written primarily for undergraduate biology majors, this book can also serve to introduce graduate students and medical students to the field. The completely updated text integrates classical developmental biology with all the incredible advances that have been made in recent years. It includes chapters on evolutionary developmental biology and ecological evolutionary developmental biology. Ecological Developmental Biology 1st edition When the molecular processes of epigenetics meet the ecological processes of phenotypic plasticity, the result is a revolutionary new field: Ecological developmental biology is a truly integrative biology, detailing the interactions between developing organisms and their environmental contexts. Ecological developmental biology also provides a systems approach to the study of pathology, integrating the studies of diabetes, cancers, obesity, and the aging syndrome into the framework of an ecologically sensitive developmental biology. It looks at examples where the environment provides expected cues for normal development and where the organism develops improperly without such cues. The notion that epialleles changes in chromosome structure that alter gene expression can be induced by environmental agents and transmitted across generations has altered our notions of evolution, as have new experiments documenting the genetic fixation of environmentally induced changes in development. The widespread use of symbiosis in development provides new targets for natural selection. Ecological developmental biology integrates these new ideas into an extended evolutionary synthesis that retains and enriches the notion of evolution by natural selection. A fully revised second edition is expected in Constructing the Organism with A. Raunio, ; Sinauer Associates Bioethics: Springboard for Debate with A. Zackin, ; Sinauer Associates Differential Expressions: Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA. Publications Selected Publications Gilbert, S. The embryological origins of the gene theory. Resynthesizing evolutionary and developmental biology. The visual and conceptual aesthetics of emerging form. In The Elusive Synthesis: Aesthetics and Science A. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht. Organicism for the Twenty-first Century. Developmental biology meets the real world. Congenital human baculum deficiency: The generative bone of Genesis 2: American Journal of Medical Genetics The genome in its ecological context: Annals of the New York Academy of Science Teaching evolution through developmental genetics. The morphogenesis of evolutionary developmental biology. The aerodynamics of flying carpets: Opening Up the Intelligent Design Controversy. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore. How the turtle forms its shell: A paracrine hypothesis of carapace formation. A symbiotic view of life: We have never been individuals. Quarterly Review of Biology Wonder and the necessary alliances of science and religion. The origin and loss of periodic patterning in the turtle shell.

**Chapter 7 : Suzan Mazur » The Rome Abstracts: «Evolutionary Mechanisms»**

*The Generation of Novelty: The Province of Developmental Biology Gilbert, Scott F. Letter The Generation of Novelty: The Province of Developmental Biology In his op-ed piece, «Forty years a philosopher of biology: Why EvoDevo makes me still excited about my subject,» Michael Ruse () presents a tamed version of EvoDevo.*

Gilbert and Ziony Zevit The letter below, written by a professor of biology and a professor of biblical literature, first appeared in the American Journal of Medical Genetics in The letter and its references have been reproduced exactly as they appeared in the journal. One of these is gulonolactone oxidase deficiency [OMIM ], caused by a deletion on chromosome 8p21 [Nishikimi et al. The lack of this enzyme causes severe connective tissue disease and makes us dependent upon dietary supplements of ascorbic acid. Whereas most mammals including common species such as dogs and mice and most other primates excepting spider monkeys have a penile bone, human males lack this bone and must rely on fluid hydraulics to maintain erections. This is not an insignificant bone. The baculum of a large dog can be 10 cm long x 1. In rodents, the proximal segment of the os penis is formed by intramembranous ossification, while the distal region appears to be formed by endochondral ossification. The size of the rodent baculum is regulated by the posterior members of the HoxD set of transcription factors [Williams-Ashman and Reddi, ; Zakany et al. It has not been determined if the deficiency in human males is due to lack of paracrine factor expression in the genital mesoderm. Human bacula have been reported, usually in association with other congenital diseases or penile abnormalities [see Hoeg, , Gelbard, ; Sarma and Weilbaecher, ; Vahlensieck et al. One of the creation stories in Genesis may be an explanatory myth wherein the Bible attempts to find a cause for why human males lack this particular bone. Our opinion is that Adam did not lose a rib in the creation of Eve. Any ancient Israelite or for that matter, any American child would be expected to know that there is an equal and even number of ribs in both men and women. Moreover, ribs lack any intrinsic generative capacity. That would explain why human males, of all the primates and most other mammals, did not have one. It can also mean the rib of a hill 2 Samuel So the word could be used to indicate a structural support beam. Interestingly, Biblical Hebrew, unlike later rabbinic Hebrew, had no technical term for the penis and referred to it through many circumlocutions. This translation, enshrined in the Septuagint, the Greek Bible of the early church, fixed the meaning for most of western civilization, even though the Hebrew was not so specific. In addition, Genesis 2: If this seam does not form, hypospadias of the glans, penis, and scrotum can result. A rib has no particular potency nor is it associated mythologically or symbolically with any human generative act. Needless to say, the penis has always been associated with generation, in practice, in mythology, and in the popular imagination. Therefore, the literal, metaphorical, and euphemistic use of the word tzela make the baculum a good candidate for the singular bone taken from Adam to generate Eve. Baculum of a gray seal. J Urol Nephrol Sisson S, Grossman JD. The Anatomy of Domestic Animals. Gilbert , a professor at Swarthmore College, teaches developmental biology, developmental genetics, and the history of biology.

**Chapter 8 : Evolutionary developmental biology - Wikipedia**

*Scott F Gilbert The origin of the turtle plastron is not known, but these nine bones have been homologized to the exoskeletal components of the clavicles, the interclavicular bone, and gastralia.*

Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA. Reprinted in Spanish and Italian Reprinted in Japanese and Russian Reprinted in Portuguese Fourth edition: Reprinted in French Fifth edition: Reprinted in prepared in Korean, French, and Russian. Galperin, C, Gilbert, S. Symposium on Ecological Developmental Biology. Bioethics and the New Embryology. DNA synthesis in developing sea urchins: Role of a DNA-nuclear membrane complex. D-valine as a selective agent for normal human and rodent epithelial cells in culture. Renal enzymes in kidney cells selected by D-val medium. The embryological origins of the gene theory. Altruism and other unnatural acts: Huxley on nature, man, and society. The metaphorical structuring of social perceptions. A neutralizing monoclonal antibody against poliovirus and its reaction with related antigens. Phenotypic heterogeneity within clones of fetal human cells. Intellectual traditions in the life sciences: Molecular biology and biochemistry. Neutralization of poliovirus by a monoclonal antibody: Basal localization of presumptive auxin transport carrier in pea stem cell membranes. Review of Embryonic and Fetal Development: Reproduction in Mammals, Second Edition, C. Cambridge University Press; in Quart. Intellectual traditions in the life sciences. The onset of paternal and maternal Gpi-1 gene expression in preimplantation mouse embryos. Bacchus in the laboratory: In defense of scientific puns. Nuclear transfer in mouse embryos: Activation of the embryonic genome. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Cell-cell receptors in embryogenesis. Enrichment and differentiation of postnatal rodent neuroblasts in selective tissue culture medium. Cell surface receptors in development and immunity. Wilson, Morgan, and the embryological origins of the gene theory. Review of Horder, T. In Medical History The importance of feminist critique for contemporary cell biology. Reprinted in Feminism and Science ed. Tuana , Indiana University Press pp. Reprinted in Philosophies of Science: From Foundations to Contemporary Issues. Reprinted in The Gender of Science ed. Maienschein University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia. From Metaphor to Reality. Induction and the Origins of Developmental Genetics. Cytoplasmic action in development. The role of embryonic induction in creating self. In Organism and the Origins of Self ed. The synthesis of embryology and human genetics: Development and macroevolution, American Zoologist, Differential expression of gap junction mRNAs and proteins in the developing murine kidney and in experimentally induced nephric mesenchymes. Symposium on the evolution of individuality. Biology and Philosophy 7: Cells in search of community: Developmental field theory and the molecular analysis of morphogenesis: A comment on Dr. Dobzhansky, Waddington and Schmalhausen: Embryology and the Modern Synthesis. In The Evolution of Theodosius Dobzhansky: Princeton University Press, Princeton. Karl Ernst von Baer [ - ] and Anton Dohrn [ - ]. American Philosophical Society, Philadelphia. Activin disrupts epithelial branching morphogenesis in developing murine kidney, pancreas, and salivary gland. Science in Context 8: Has Postmodernism had any effect on biology? Resynthesizing evolutionary and developmental biology. A brief history of premolecular induction studies. Cellular dialogues during development. Enzyme adaptation and the entrance of molecular biology into embryology. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht. The visual and conceptual aesthetics of emerging form. In The Elusive Synthesis: Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordecht. How cells learn, how cells teach: Induction and education in the body. Issues of Theory, Method, and Application. Lawrence Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis. Republished online Jewish Women: A Comprehensive Historical Encyclopedia. M, and Gilbert, S. Contributions to field theory and life of Alexander G. Intercellular pathways as homologous processes. In "Developmental Biology in Half a Century: The historiography of genetics and embryology. Conceptual breakthroughs in developmental biology. A commentary on K. Human cloning Letter to the editor. Fundamental Biology in the Twentieth Century. Morange, The Developmental Gene Concept. Gene Concepts in Development and Evolution. University of California Press, Berkeley, Genes classical and genes developmental: The different uses of the gene in evolutionary syntheses. Rheinberger ,Cambridge University Press. Mainstreaming feminist critique into the biology curriculum.

Chapter 9 : Is There "Plenty of Time" (in Texas) for the Evolution of Novelty? | Evolution News

*Scott F. Gilbert, the Howard A. Schneiderman Professor (emeritus) at Swarthmore College and a Finland Distinguished Professor (emeritus) at the University of Helsinki Institute of Biotechnology. He teaches developmental biology, developmental genetics, and the history of biology.*