

Chapter 1 : An Open Letter to David Hogg

*An open letter to David Ennals (Memorandum from the Bow Group) [Colin Coulson-Thomas] on theinnatdunvilla.com
FREE shipping on qualifying offers.*

The Case Against U. With even further U. Your speech on Friday had much to applaud. As you have vigorously done for three years, your remarks exposed in compelling detail the U. While it is perfectly legitimate to disagree with Israel about settlements, allowing Resolution to pass was morally wrong and strategically damaging. Resolution Immediate and compelling evidence demonstrates that the Administration has failed to achieve its objective, which you articulated as promoting the two-state solution. Yair Lapid, chair of the Yesh Atid opposition party, who has endorsed the Saudi-Arab Peace Initiative as a basis for peace talks, and who opposes the proposed Knesset bill to legalize outposts which you cited on Friday, called the U. Should Have Vetoed U. Resolution Encourages Palestinian Rejectionism, Undermines Negotiations The resolution dangerously disincentivizes Palestinians to come to the negotiating table. Instead, Resolution will for the foreseeable future encourage them to await being handed the same or more by international fiat. This will feed into the Palestinian strategy of preferring to deal with international institutions over bilateral talks with Israel. Contrary to its stated objective, therefore, the resolution will only push negotiations further away. If Tzipi Livni was already being served with UK arrest warrants before, Resolution will only aggravate anti-Israel lawfare. Certainly the UN Human Rights Council will feel empowered to continue preparing its blacklist of Israeli companies that do business over the green line, due in March. Whereas Israel is named and shamed throughout the text, the Palestinians get a free pass. Explanation of Vote Misstates Longstanding U. In fact, your speech was selective, excluding material statements by U. In the pre borders Israel was barely 10 miles wide at its narrowest point. I am not about to ask Israel to live that way again. It is clear, however, that a return to the situation of June 4, , will not bring peace. There must be secure, and there must be recognized, borders. Israel will never negotiate from or return to the lines of partition or to the borders. The Bush letter stated: Moreover, the Bush letter severely undermines your claim that the U. Resolution Lacks Legitimacy in U. Reverses Decades of U. Practice There has not been a resolution like this in a generation, not since the Carter years in and , and even those resolutions did not take place during a time of extreme anti-Israeli BDS campaigns and in the context of global anti-Israeli lawfare prosecutions sought in the ICC and elsewhere. This reverses decades of practice by both Democratic and Republican presidents. Moreover, unlike with the few other U. S-backed resolutions in history that criticized Israel from time to time, the nature of the coordination and the careful timing of this maneuver against a close ally make it seem particularly deliberate and hostile. I cannot think of another time in modern history when the U. The Obama Administration Joined the Jackals In conclusion, I ask you to consider what one of your predecessors and role models, the late great Daniel Patrick Moynihan, might have thought about what the U. How could its capital be in the territory of others? Were Moynihan alive today, do you have any doubt that he would have said that on Friday the U. If that proves to be the caseâ€”and based on my knowledge and experience of U. Thank you for your consideration.

Off the back of my piece last Thursday entitled 'Even as an innocent bystander, football is implicated', and my frustration at the silence of the FFA, I wrote this letter to David Gallop.

Posted on October 12, by billrandles Dear brother Dave, I have been observing the back and forth ever since you were removed from Moriel with much concern, perplexity and even sorrow. Of course I am concerned for you, your precious family and ministry, because I know how difficult it can be to go through times like these. Actually my concern extends to brother Jacob as well, and to the larger flock of God, and ultimately for the glory of God. You and I and Jacob all know this, and we have long ago accepted this as a reality. As I said, I am also perplexed about this whole discussion. I will put aside the issues of slain in the Spirit, Anointed prayer cloths I do not regard you as a Benny Hinn by any means , impartation of the Spirit through laying on of hands, all of which I consider to be peripheral to the main problematic charge, which is your comments about the Blood of Jesus, in the Millenium. There is little or no narration, only clip answering to fuller clip. As far as I know, no one has accused you of not preaching the gospel, to those of us on this side of the Millennium. One would wonder what all of the fuss is, if they merely went by your response tape, or even by the excellent GV interview with Stewart Menalaws. You said that no one at Moriel had ever spoken to you about any problems until May. If you want to bring real clarification to this issue, You would have to acknowledge where the confusion springs from. The issue is what you have said about the blood of Jesus during the Millennium. This is the real contention which has eventually come to a head, and which must be acknowledged as problematic. Obviously Moriel, and others, including myself would have a problem with this. Misunderstanding this has obfuscated the whole discussion, in my humble opinion. I just want to say that the true starting place would be for you to acknowledge the statement and to try to see why that would be problematic. Have you corrected your teachings? If so is there any way you could list the corrections, in order to validate the concerns others have raised? How about a point by point list? For example I was blessed to hear that the prayer cloth teaching was ten years old and that you had retracted it. We have all had to go back over some of our earlier teachings and make corrections. People are being given the impression that you are being accused out of the blue, as if there has been no cause for any alarm. But any teaching on the blood of Jesus, the only means for the salvation of all men is extremely serious. Any perceived denigration of the blood, in this age or the age to come, would obviously be problematic. There is nothing wrong with being a Berean. As for how this issue has been handled, how you have been treated, whether or not your answer was forthcoming, I can not speak for any of that. That is another issue entirely. For example I do not think it fair to compare you to Benny Hinn. There is no comparison. Nor do I think it wise to call anyone a liar. I have always loved you brother and respected your work and demeanor, but you know also that I have had reservations about your teachings on the blood of Jesus in the Millennium, and the role of animal blood sacrifices. I had always hoped this could become a teachable moment, and it may yet be.

Chapter 3 : Security Service file release August | The National Archives

David Noakes Moriel Scottish Conference in November and his "first person" extra biblical prophecies for instance! Clifford Hill/Issachar Ministries is an ecumenical set up. Prasch's approval of ecumenical Chuck Smith and also Billy Graham's ministry recently.

May 19, Glory to Jesus Christ! Thank you very much for reading my recent lengthy letter, and for your thoughtful, respectful response to it. I appreciate your calmness and civility in approaching the controversial topic of homosexuality, and I will endeavor to respond to you in a similar way. On one key point, though, I would like to offer a crucial clarification. I do, in fact, agree with your words: And preaching requires that we address the gospel to an audience—we engage the world with the gospel. When we look at the history of theology, this is what we see: With every fresh presentation of the Gospel to each new generation, in each new cultural setting, the Church has adapted her preaching to the specifics of the cultural context, but never to the extent of being inconsistent with what she has always preached in every other context. Yet there has never before been even remotely anything like a radical change in the content of the Tradition in the entire history of our Church. So it would be very much inconsistent for such a radical change to ever occur, either now or in the future. So I think the basic point of our disagreement lies in the extent to which we believe and understand that certain elements in our Tradition are open to change. Certainly there can be a vast array of local customs in music, iconography styles, rubrics in the services, certain relatively minor pastoral practices, etc. And these local variations often are in flux. Yet they all are consistent with the Tradition as a whole. Veneration of local saints is perhaps a good example. So we see that among all these variations in local practice, there is no departure from basic, foundational belief. Your analogy using WonderBread and artisanal San Francisco sourdough bread, and asserting that they are still both bread, reveals how radical indeed your re-imagining is! For how many true-blue artisanal sourdough bread lovers would see in WonderBread anything consistent with what they know to be truly bread! It cannot ever be seen as being consistent with the Holy Scriptures, which as you know strongly condemn the practice, or as being consistent with the teachings of our Church Fathers and the canons of our Tradition, all of which also strongly condemn that practice as not being consistent with the life of purity to which our Church has always called us all: I fully realize that for many Christians who identify themselves as homosexuals, they are convinced, by their experience, that same-sex sexual relations are compatible with a life of holiness, especially if their same-sex sexual activity occurs within a loving, committed relationship. But the foundational problem here is that they reach this conclusion based on their own experience and reasoning, and not on the experience and reasoning of the Church as a whole. For we Orthodox Christians are always taught not to trust our own experience and reasoning — for we know how easily we can be deceived — but rather to measure and adapt our experience and reasoning by and to the experience and reasoning of the Saints and Fathers of our Church — all of whom have always deplored and continue to deplore same-sex sexual activity as deeply sinful and highly detrimental to a life of true holiness. Of course, all heterosexual sexual activity outside of marriage is also similarly condemned by our Church. While I empathize with self-identified homosexuals who are trying to reconcile their sexualized SSA with their Christian faith, it seems to me that of all Christians, Orthodox Christians should be able to understand that if they do have sexualized thoughts and feelings of SSA, these thoughts and feelings cannot possibly be approved or blessed by our Lord. So whatever the complex origins of such thoughts and feelings may be, in the universal and timeless understanding of our Orthodox Church these thoughts and feelings must be resisted with the help of our Lord, and through the guidance of His Church and her deep understanding of spiritual warfare and dealing with ungodly thoughts logismoi, in order for a life of real holiness to be experienced. But He did not. In addition, Christ declares that the man will leave his father and mother and cleave to his wife — not to another man cf. When this is made crystal clear to people wrestling with SSA, it gives them a sure foundation to inspire them to fight against any impulses towards same-sex sexual activity. Otherwise, so often there is heart-wrenching and gut-wrenching uncertainty, which can amplify, sometimes with disastrous consequences, the confusion that people struggling with SSA so often feel. In addition, I would assert just as

strongly that everyone else in the single state also must struggle just as much against inappropriate heterosexual sexual behavior in order to live chastely before marriage – no matter how strong their feelings and impulses might be in a sinful direction, and no matter how strongly the surrounding society is urging them to indulge in sexual sin. And for the married, this is also just as important for them – to ensure that they will not engage in inappropriate sexual activity within marriage, and that they will resist even the slightest thoughts of possibly committing adultery. Furthermore, I believe, along with Fr. John Breck, that the current many-faceted effort to reduce the importance of, and indeed to renounce entirely, binary human sexuality, is indeed a heresy – an anthropological heresy which can be called, as he does, unisexism. As we quote him in the Introduction to the book of essays which my wife and I helped to edit entitled *Glory and Honor*: Whereas Arius dealt with the antinomy of God-manhood by denying consubstantiality, the unisex heresy deals with the problem of gender by denying differentiation p. Gnosticism, of course, is centered in the belief that all of Creation is a vast cosmic mistake, with matter itself being evil at least to some extent. Therefore, the human body must be somehow evil also, and have nothing to do with salvation. Indeed, for the Gnostics, the body is seen as a tomb from which the soul must escape in order to find salvation. In this view, since those having the secret knowledge are believed to be automatically saved, and since for them the body does not participate in salvation, it can be used – and abused – at will, without any damaging repercussions. The Gnostic worldview, of course, is far removed from the Orthodox understanding of the goodness of Creation, including the human body, created by the Good God Who loves mankind. Hence, our Tradition understands that the body does very much participate in the ongoing work of salvation, which includes the constant endeavor to live in purity of spirit, soul, and body. Furthermore, our Tradition sees great moral significance in the God-given natural form and functions of both the male and the female body – which is why, for instance, there are Church canons prohibiting castration and cross-dressing. Yet as the Fathers at each of these Councils defended the Faith, they did so in very positive ways – preserving the sound and pure doctrine which is crucial for underlying and shaping sound and pure living. And they did so in creative ways – using new wording in order to most effectively address each new heresy – while always remaining completely consistent with what the Fathers had taught before them. I also believe that current Orthodox writing on the glory of marriage is also a step in this direction. So I would suggest that we are seeing expressed in our own time within Orthodoxy a deeper and richer appreciation for the glory of traditional marriage as a path to holiness as equally valid and meaningful as the monastic path. You might also be interested in the 30 essays on various aspects of marriage by 26 Orthodox priests and scholars, including two monastic elders, in *Glory and Honor: Orthodox Christian Resources on Marriage* St. Thank you for considering these thoughts. May they be helpful to you and to many!

Chapter 4 : Colin Coulson-Thomas | Open Library

An Open Letter to David Hogg. Jack Kerwick | Posted: Apr 10, AM. Share Tweet. The opinions expressed by columnists are their own and do not represent the views of theinnatdunvilla.com

Zij worden overspoeld met mooie verhalen, weerspiegelingen en excuses veroorzaakt door het voltallig bestuur van Lavide. In vele persberichten zijn talloze verhalen de wereld in gebracht die vaak grenzen aan smaad Yes I see your sideways glances towards me. Little do you know that I dream of being like you. I wanna be popular. I dress like you, have a genuine personality, but you want nothing to do with me. I get bad anxiety sometimes and all I could do is cry. The name of the person is called Jane Trajkovski. Born in Bitola I believe in in area called Genimale. Jane Trajkovski was one of the main people that was organizing the people to go and protest in front I used to be sad like this before. Yung lungkot na nagsasabing sana maalala mo din ako. Sana mapasaya mo ko ulit. It also was said to me several more times over the years. And I just had a fling. Not a story I can proudly share with all my friends, so it gets published here. But this year, I was ready and desired to have some of the companionship, My hope is that in Like nobody cares or atleasts not the people you want to care the most. Well that is how I felt, I felt all alone. Do you think this is how a lone wolf feels when the pack rejects it, he knows they are still there and they know he is but they still reject You destroyed a lot more today than just sentimental things around my house. You broke me in a way I never thought I could be broken. As is sat in my bathroom floor with the door locked crying my eyes out because That realization hurt, made me feel hollow and The eight months that we spent together were a time of substantial growth, love, and euphoria. That is when I get the all too familiar urge to text or call you only to come I think most of the answer comes from my good heart. You have abused your position that has been given to you and not earned like so At least it use to be. Three days before classes started, I had to schedule one more class to have the minimum credits to start off my semester. Let me explain to you what it feels like to be told you are fat, a worthless parent, not good enough. The thoughts replay throughout my mind daily. I question myself when I know better but after I want to know that side of you that no one seems to care about. But how do i dive in deeper? We got together sometime in the beginning of this year. You left me in August. And that, I have to say, hurt me horribly. I try to have This is not an attempt to lessen their cause or bring negativity to I understand your pain. You gave him everything and he took advantage of it, but there is so much more out there. There is so much more that you deserve. He will always find a way to work it out, even if it I have loved before, but I have never loved anyone the way I loved you. I loved you despite the fact that I was broken. I loved you so much, I wanted to help We were kids and I think I just liked the idea of having a companion.

Chapter 5 : 12 Reasons Why the U.S. Should Have Vetoed U.N. resolution - UN Watch

I know that this moment will be with you for the rest of your life. You want to be a gun control activist. As an American Vet, I respect your free speech right to stand up for something you believe in.

Kidwell, who sued General Motors, alleging it sold him a defective pickup truck. We realized it was getting a lot of attention. The biggest problem was the inordinate amount of time it took. Reputations are shared opinions about how one might behave based on the observation, gossip and hearsay of others. Yet these fluid assessments make possible the trust and social connections that help build community, foster collaboration, develop client bases and facilitate markets. In professions that provide a service, like law, reputation is nearly all those businesses have to sell. The Internet offers the potential for making more accurate reputational assessments by making more information available. But it also leaves the ones assessed with less control. And especially for those with a business presence online, information abounds from third parties, including social networks such as Facebook; directories like Avvo, which rates lawyers and doctors; and sites that aggregate public records like OpenSecrets. Avvo denies the allegations. In the Florida Bar handed Davis a public reprimand and three years of probation related to convictions for indirect criminal contempt for failure to pay child support, according to court documents. Davis responded to Britton via e-mail: They view the Internet as a chance to share their work and opinions more widely. Virtually anyone with a keyboard and a browser can find ways to be heard, even if what they say embarrasses, disparages or defames. Consider the experience of New York City criminal defense attorney Scott Greenfield, a prolific and popular blogger who was targeted by an angry individual whom he said stalked him online. Greenfield turned to his followers last year for help in a post at his law blog Simple Justice: There was no court involvement. This protection under section of the Communications Decency Act of , aimed at encouraging innovation, helped spur the growth of popular sites that rely on user-generated material, like Wikipedia and YouTube. Yet there is a dark side to unfettered speech. One of the most publicized cases involved two female students at Yale Law School who were the subject of sexually offensive and anonymous slurs on AutoAdmit, a widely followed discussion board for law students. They filed suit in One of the women claimed she was turned down for summer jobs because her potential employers saw the offensive posts. Their attorneys uncovered the identities of some defendants, some of whom agreed to settle for undisclosed sums. The case was voluntarily dismissed in without prejudice. After law school, the women went on to apparent success: Yet some caches of threads from AutoAdmit still appear in searches of their names. Among the most prominent advocates for more restrictive interpretations of the law is Daniel J. Solove , a law professor at George Washington University. Yet in 55 percent of the cases, the material was no longer available from the third party because the defendant had voluntarily removed it, ceased operations, settled with the plaintiff or, in a small number of cases, complied with a court order. Plaintiffs sued the original source of the material in more than half the cases, and their success rate was in line with that reported in other studies examining defamation litigation, the study found. Rather than rely exclusively on legal remedies, Ardia suggests looking to technology, social norms and competitive market forces to help deal with reputational harms. It also displayed a list of articles supporting other points of view. But Dispute Finder represented an emerging class of tools aimed at making the Web more reliable and credible. Market forces are at work as well. He predicts the Internet will evolve into two parallel arenas. In one, information will be viewed as relevant and useful. Reputational disputes will be deterred or resolved through systems of response and reply. Solove is less sanguine. Sheppard, whose firm was attacked on the Lying Scumbags site, got the material removed without filing a suit, but it took considerable effort. We ended up writing a letter to the host of the website. It was clear that Mr. Kidwell was in violation because of the slanderous and defamatory information he posted. We are a small firm. We had three lawyers at the time. Now Markland Hanley has a polished website featuring accolades about his professionalism. Two of the testimonials are from attorneys who also served as opposing counsel on the case that generated the letter. Neither Markland nor Sheppard believe their firms lost business over the incidents. Yet even ardent advocates of protected speech such as Greenfield acknowledge one can never be sure about the impact of an online

dust-up. Google ranks its results based on how many sites link to a page where your name occurs. Monitoring and Managing Reputations Online. But you better make it work. As a first resort, get bad information corrected or taken down, Beal says. You can immunize yourself from the potential harm of a piece of stand-alone negativity. Barbara Rose is a freelance journalist in Chicago.

Chapter 6 : Colin Coulson-Thomas (Author of The Future of the Organization)

An Open Letter to David Hogg By John C. Velisek USN Ret, on February 28th, I joined the military at 18, and having served 20 years I have seen a great part of the world we live in.

Hedda Gabler at the National Theatre. You might be wondering who on earth I am and what gives me the right to engage in a conversation about British theatre with you? As a dramaturg and a teacher, I am concerned that I might be deemed an infectious body. Call me paranoid, but I can assure you that in a post-Brexit climate one must take any such potential charges extremely seriously. I have studied and at times admired your work *Plenty* was quite something. And I have heard, numerous times, grievances similar to the ones you voiced to Jeffrey Sweet coming from your colleagues your namesakes Edgar and Eldridge come to mind. Not at the time when the Home Office is slowly instigating a potential exodus of non-Anglo-Saxon professionals from its shores. I want to clear up two things. In various European contexts director is someone who renders the play into the multifarious language of the stage. Theatre-maker is a predominantly British professional self-denomination. You are a writer, you know how to use words. One would be slightly appalled if one took your claim literally. But I wanted to talk to you about Ivo Van Hove. But his elaborations come on the basis of an apparent shared affinity you have towards the idea that European directors are disrespectful towards text. I was surprised they were not being more radical. Judge Brack was being menacing, not balanced, rational and all-knowing the way he is usually portrayed. Van Hove certainly has no interest in hacking and rewriting any text he touches for some spurious reasons of his own. On the contrary, he reads the texts he directs with X-Ray precision. He embeds clues both blatantly and stealthily and he sends you home rewinding the whole thing, trying to work out the pattern of his own reading. Similarly, Hedda Gabler is not a kind of three-dimensional and contextually determined character we have been taught to try and understand in the spirit of psychological realism. Sprawled all over her piano which she does not know how to play, she is a personification of thwarted ambition. She is imprisoned in a room without doors, into which other characters arrive and from which they leave by way of the auditorium. I find this endlessly exciting. But if you are around, here is, based on personal experience, my parting shot:

Chapter 7 : Web Attacks Can Diminish Your Good Name, But Something Can Be Done

The following is an email that I recently sent to David "Boss" Hogg regarding his advocacy for stricter gun laws: I think before you continue to push for more gun control measures, you might want to consider reading the following books: I also recall one of the students from your school saying.

Velisek USN Ret, on February 28th, I joined the military at 18, and having served 20 years I have seen a great part of the world we live in. Some of it was good, some of it not so much. I have fond memories of my time in the service. I was fortunate enough to give to my country. The history we were shown about our country from the founding fathers to the formation of the first U. Navy will always be a guiding point of my life. In that course I was taught that the rights we enjoy in this, the most exceptional country in the world, were God given. I learned that those rights also came with responsibilities that must be adhered to. Those responsibilities are laid out in our founding documents, the Constitution and Bill of Rights. One of those rights was the 2nd Amendment. The enforcement of the 2nd Amendment was for innumerable reasons, from hunting for food, to self defense, to the ability to overthrow a tyrannical government as set forth by our Founding Fathers. The ability of our citizens to keep the guns that our Federal law have given them without question are now under attack by many who are fearful of guns. We find ourselves after another school shooting that the progressive socialists once again raise voices over the bidies of the victims. Not mentioned is the total breakdown of federal and local enforcement that could have kept Nikolas Cruz from getting any firearms. We now have children who will be traumatized for the rest of their lives for something that could have been prevented. Because they were concerned about what they called a disproportionate number of blacks in prison they started the Promise Act to break the classroom to prison train. All it did was require that schools in the country allow violent and mentally ill students to harass the other students without fear of arrest. Nikolas Cruz was one of those students. All of this was done so that schools could get more federal funds. One of the most vociferous agitators is a survivor of the shooting in Parkland. He has taken to speaking for all the students of the school and has pushed himself forward to be a strident voice for the victims. Although he has been all over the airwaves from CNN and MSNBC to late night shows to do nothing more than to force on citizens the progressive socialist talking points of gun control. There have been many of other survivors of the Parkland shooting who disagree with his anti-gun position, and they, like everyone else who disagrees with him have been shouted down and ridiculed for disagreeing with him. Working with such groups as MoveOn. He seems to think he is now a policy expert and can arrange for his thoughts and opinions to be taken seriously. My first thought is who the hell does he think he is. The son of a supposed FBI agent father and a VIP mother who works for CNN his own words what makes him any more expert just because he was in the building next to where the shooting occurred? His condescending attitude and his inability to see past the myopic preening group that are his handlers is self serving. His present course will allow him to move forward in his future plans as either a journalist of politician, another progressive leftists that can lie easily and do nothing more than push the Alinsky principles forward. Any who call out his lies or his demeaning of others will be set upon by the socialists who are cheering him on. I find it informative that he has spoken in derogatory terms of Gov. Rick Scott and President Trump with absolutely no understanding of what actually happened. He needs to learn his civics and take a government class. The incompetent Broward Country Sherriff was not hired by the Governor but an elected official elected by the people within the county. Once again the subject that he rants about is in a word ignorant and a lie. The NRA is a non-profit that does nothing more than safety training and protection of our 2nd Amendment rights. I admit and will willingly listen to his ideas that may alleviate the gun challenges that we face, but hot when his main claim to fame is doing nothing more that demaning others, trying to discredit others and what is nothing more than a child stomping his feet to get others to agree with him. If he finds himself willing to talk with others on a equal basis, andlisten as well as speak perhaps there can be progress made. Not that he will listen, but a few suggestions for Hogg and his followers: Look at the list about who contributes and lobbies our government. You will find that the NRA are not even in the top 50 and barely makes the Top They are in the Top Would not that also be the murder of children? Does he really

think that the population and future voters will ALL agree with him? More and more people are agreeing with the NRA, no matter what lies you tell. Even some of your sycophants that are with you know will grow older and more conservative. You may very well find you mob rule backers being winnowed away when they get older and learn more. Even now, more than two thirds of voters blame the government failures that allowed this to happen. Because you feel that you can espouse your thoughts and demands on the fact that you were a victim is irrelevant. If you are going to pontificate your testimony to the nodding heads of the mainstream media without pushback you are sadly mistaken. Calling the NRA child murderers, and calling for boycotts will be of little effect. You will find that your mass movement will be trickle compared to what you will face. While I agree that there needs to be change, it will not be forced on the American people. You have forced yourself into the political discourse that you know little about. You have bullied others and demeaned others for the simple fact that they disagree with you. This country does not respond well to demands made by someone who has little experience in the real world legislative, constitutional and historical perspective. And be forewarned, you have forced yourself into the arena of politics and you have no right to make demands of others whether corporations or individuals. And one more final thought. Our country was founded as a Republic and is not broken but bent out of shape by those who would destroy it. It is dented because of people like David Hogg who rant and rave and insults those who disagree and of course his progressive socialist enablers and handlers. If you have something to say show it with facts and offer a way to make things better. But screaming or threatening those who disagree with you is not the answer, It is time you learn that. That is my right under the 2nd Amendment.

Chapter 8 : An Open Letter to David Hogg Â« Intellectual Conservative

An Open Letter To David Letterman: We Need to Talk About John (Papa, That Is) An appeal to Ball State's most famous alumnus about the university's most notorious graduate.

Transcription The most important Soviet agent whose file appears in the latest MI5 release is that of the little known Cedric Belfrage. For a year or so in the middle of the Second World War, Soviet intelligence even rated him ahead of Philby. Though Belfrage left Cambridge University without taking a degree, while still a student he began a successful career as a film critic. He visited Moscow in and returned to the United States a committed Communist. For the next two years he was the right-hand man of William Stephenson, who as head of British Security Coordination BSC , based in New York, was the senior British intelligence officer in the western hemisphere. After the war Belfrage returned to the United States but was deported in , several years after the US authorities began uncovering evidence of his wartime espionage. The latest MI5 declassification also includes additional material on two other British agents of Soviet intelligence. Tudor-Hart was, almost certainly, the talent-spotter who first identified Kim Philby as a likely recruit for Soviet intelligence. The main file on Fuchs was opened at The National Archives a decade ago. Among the files which will attract most attention in the latest release is that on the writer Doris Lessing, who in , at the age of 88, became the oldest ever winner of the Nobel Prize for Literature. In , at the age of 26, she divorced her first husband and married the Communist activist Gottfried Lessing, a leading figure in the Left Book Club. She kept his surname when the marriage ended and she moved to England in Later in the same year, outraged by the Soviet invasion of Hungary, Lessing left the Party. Her file contains an appeal from Party officials for Lessing to withdraw her resignation. His file contains a copy of the membership card which he tore up after the invasion and angrily sent back to Party HQ. The latest declassification also contains MI5 files on several prominent Labour politicians who, at some stage in their careers, had Communist connections. Laski was Party Chairman in at the time of the landslide Labour election victory. For some years he had been one of the best known and most controversial British public intellectuals. The interception of his correspondence, authorised by a Home Office Warrant, reveals, however, that, at the height of the Depression, he came very close to Communism. A few months later he wrote to the London branch of Friends of the Soviet Union: They provided a list, reproduced in my history of 2 MI5, of 16 Labour MPs whom they believed were really Communists and another 9 possible Communists. MI5 refused to become involved. He later became Secretary General of Amnesty International from to Some of the pro-Nazis even passed him secret information, including details of research into the jet engine, in the mistaken belief that he would pass it to Germany. In Ronald Creasy offered to provide safe accommodation for both German agents and parachutists who landed in England. Potocki spent much of his time pursuing a bizarre claim to the Polish throne. Declassified MI5 files are currently changing the way that the history of the British Empire, as well as of Britain itself, is researched and written. Until recently, most histories of British decolonisation did not even mention the role of MI5. MI5, however, was an imperial as well as a UK security service with intelligence responsibilities for British and Commonwealth territories around the world until the end of the s. Officers who joined MI5 after the Second World War could expect to spend a quarter to a third of their careers on overseas postings. Intelligence obtained from the surveillance of colonial delegations was also highly valued by British negotiators during the fraught negotiations which led to the winding up in of the Central African Federation, whose ill-considered creation had lumped together Nyasaland and Northern Rhodesia, both overwhelmingly black, with the white supremacist regime in Southern Rhodesia. Previously he had been a GP in Britain for over twenty years as well as an elder of the Church of Scotland. At the time of his death in , he claimed to be His oldest friends, however, believed he was about As usual, the latest MI5 release contains many topics both for media stories and for academic research. Undergraduates seeking subjects for final-year dissertations and postgraduates looking for PhD topics will find numerous possibilities in the KV series. The National Archives have, once again, produced a very helpful short guide to the latest MI5 release.

Chapter 9 : Letter from Mr David Ennals - Europe PMC Article - Europe PMC

David Hedley Ennals, Baron Ennals PC (19 August - 17 June) was a British Labour Party politician and campaigner for human rights. He served as Secretary of State for Social Services from to